

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/928,546	08/13/2001	Angelo Speranza	Rockco P32AUS	7144		
20210 7	590 10/08/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER		
DAVIS & BUJOLD, P.L.L.C. FOURTH FLOOR 500 N. COMMERCIAL STREET		TRAI		UY VAN		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MANCHESTER, NH 03101-1151			3652			

DATE MAILED: 10/08/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, , <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>				\leq IN			
	Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Official Addison Commencer	09/928,546		SPERANZA, ANGELO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Thuy v. Tran		3652				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CER 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status	h. 2002						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 J</u>		al.					
, <u> </u>	s action is non-fin		asocution as to th	no morite is			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-5,9-12,16-18,23,24,26-28,32,41 and 50 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from considerat	ion.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-5,9-12,16-18,23,24,26-28,32,41 and 50</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirem	ent.					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	·			l application).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲		(PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT				

Application/Control Number: 09/928,546

Art Unit: 3652

DETAILED ACTION

Reissue Applications

1. While there is concurrent litigation related to this reissue application, action in this reissue application will NOT be stayed because of applicant's request that the application be examined at this time. Due to the related litigation status of this reissue application, EXTENSIONS OF TIME UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF 37 CFR 1.136(a) WILL NOT BE PERMITTED.

Claims 2-5, 9, 10, 12, 23, 24, 26-28, 32, 41 and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 251 as being an improper recapture of broadened claimed subject matter surrendered in the application for the patent upon which the present reissue is based. See *Hester Industries, Inc.* v. *Stein, Inc.*, 142 F.3d 1472, 46 USPQ2d 1641 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Clement,* 131 F.3d 1464, 45 USPQ2d 1161 (Fed. Cir. 1997); *Ball Corp.* v. *United States,* 729 F.2d 1429, 1436, 221 USPQ 289, 295 (Fed. Cir. 1984). A broadening aspect is present in the reissue which was not present in the application for patent. The record of the application for the patent shows that the broadening aspect (in the reissue) relates to subject matter that applicant previously surrendered during the prosecution of the application. Accordingly, the narrow scope of the claims in the patent was not an error within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 251, and the broader scope surrendered in the application for the patent cannot be recaptured by the filing of the present reissue application.

The limitation "a plurality of trays" was argued as regard to applicant's invention in office paper No. 7, page 4 of the original application is omitted in claims 2-5, 9, 10, 12, 23, 24, 26-28, 32, 41 and 50, respectively.

In order to expedite the prosecution, in the event Applicant amends independent claims 2 and 32 to include "a plurality of trays" limitation, a new declaration will be needed to reflect the changes.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 3652

3. Claims 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

4. Claim 16 recites the limitation "the plurality of trays" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed July 8, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
 Applicant's arguments with respect to the recapture and 35 U.S.C 112, first paragraph rejections are most in view of the amendment.

Applicant's arguments with respect to the rejections under 35 U.S.C 102 and 103 are moot since the rejections are hereby withdrawn.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claim 1 is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thuy v. Tran whose telephone number is (703) 308-2558.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eileen D Lillis can be reached on (703) 308-3248. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

TVT (TVT)

EILEEN D. LILLIS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600